

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT

Prescribes Rules regarding Overtime Allowances.

READ —

Letter No. 5/D/T.M., dated the 28th April 1952, from the Accountant-General, Mysore, Bangalore, stating that the furnishing of the several certificates prescribed in paragraph 275 of the Central Treasury Rules, Volume I, in respect of claims for Overtime Allowances preferred in bills, is very desirable, and suggesting that rules similar to those contained in paragraph 275 of the Central Treasury Rules may be incorporated in the Mysore Civil Account Code, Volume I.

ORDER No. FL (B) 1679-1779—C.R. 5-52-2, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 17TH MAY 1952.

The suggestion of the Accountant-General, Mysore, is approved. The rules regarding Overtime Allowances contained in paragraph 275 of the Central Treasury Rules, Volume I, are appended to this order for information and guidance. The rules may also be incorporated in a suitable place in the Appendices and Forms to the M.C.A.C., Volume I.

M. SHAMANNA,
*Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.*

ANNEXURE.

PARAGRAPH 275 OF THE CENTRAL TREASURY RULES, VOLUME I.

OVERTIME ALLOWANCE.

Subject to any general or special orders issued by the Government in this behalf, every bill in which overtime allowances are claimed shall contain a certificate of the head of the office to the following effect:—

“Certified that—

- (a) the men for whom overtime allowances are claimed in this bill have actually earned by working overtime;
- (b) the periods for which overtime allowances are claimed in this bill have been checked with the initial records and found correct;
- (c) the overtime allowances are claimed at rates sanctioned by competent authority; and
- (d) the overtime allowances have been taken into account in calculating the income-tax due from the Government servants noted in this bill.”

NOTE.—In cases in which overtime is paid out of fees recovered from private parties and credited in the Public Account, the drawing officer should certify on the bill that the prescribed fees have been realised and credited into the Treasury.

**Limiting the expenditure to be incurred on Repair of Bicycles belonging to several
Departments of Government.**

READ—

Official Memorandum No. Ch.S. 9461-9541—Misc. 122-51-2, dated the 3rd January 1952, empowering the Major Heads of Departments to incur expenditure up to Rs. 50 towards repairs to bicycles.

2. Official Memorandum No. A.C. 5000-60—A. & F. 36-51-1 dated 25th February 1952, fixing an annual limit of expenditure to be incurred on the repair of bicycles of the General and Revenue Secretariat at Rs. 40 each.

3. Letter No. H.A. 1-62, dated 28th April 1952, from the Accountant-General, Mysore, suggesting that in view of the present need for economy, the annual limit up to which expenditure has to be incurred towards repair of a bicycle of any Department of Government may be limited to Rs. 20.

ORDER No. FL. 3005-80—G.F. 72-52-2, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 20TH JUNE 1952.

In supersession of all the Orders issued in the matter of expenditure to be incurred on repair of bicycles belonging to several Departments of Government, it is directed that such expenditure shall be limited to Rs. 20 a year for each bicycle.

M. SHAMANNA,
*Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.*

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Orders the correction of Boundaries of the Maps of Kohally and Ayanur Villages by the inclusion of the abandoned course of the halla within the boundary of Yelavalli Village, Shimoga Taluk.

READ—

Letter No. O4. 1634—51-52, dated the 1st December 1951, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, requesting sanction to correct the boundaries of the Maps of Kohally and Ayanur Villages, Shimoga Taluk, by including the halla on the boundary between the Yelavalli, Kohally and Ayanur within the limits of the Yelavalli Village.

2. Letter No. S.D.S. 14—51-52, dated the 14th March 1952, from the Superintendent of Land Records, furnishing two sketches in the matter.

ORDER No. R. 1868-71—L.S. 130-51-4, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 19TH MAY 1952.

An extent of 30 guntas in the halla kharab adjacent to Survey Nos. 60 and 61 of Yelavalli Village is reported to have been appropriated for wet cultivation. Subsequently, 24 guntas of the halla kharab were added to Survey No. 60 of Yelavalli and the remaining 6 guntas to Survey No. 61 of the same village. According to Survey Records, the halla in question constituted the boundary between Yelavalli and Kohally and Ayanur Villages. As per revenue accounts, half the extent of these portions of hallas belongs to Kohally, Ayanur and Yelavalli Villages, and as a result, the alluvial land owing to the change in the course of the halla now within the boundary of Yelavalli, will have to be added on *pro rata* to the respective villages. At present, the halla has been granted to one person, i.e., the owner of Survey No. 60 of Yelavalli.

The addition of portions of the halla to the respective villages will result in the fragmentation of the entire extent and its constitution into separate survey numbers which hamper agricultural operations. It will also necessitate the cancellation of the sanction of the land.

This can be avoided if the bits are added on to Yelavalli Village.

In the circumstances, the Revenue Commissioner has proposed that the abandoned course of the halla may be included within the boundary of Yelavalli Village and to correct the boundaries of the Maps of Kohally and Ayanur accordingly.

The proposal of the Revenue Commissioner is sanctioned.

B. T. KEMPANNA,
*Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.*